Q1 How long have you lived in Crawley Down Village?

Answered: 449 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Average Number	Total Number	Responses
Years	**23.67**	**10,606**	448
Months	**5.46**	**622**	114
Total Respondents: 449			

Q2 How many people live in your household

Answered: 449 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Average Number	Total Number	Responses
Total	**2.59**	**1,164**	449
of which Under 16	**1.11**	**168**	152
Total Respondents: 449			

Q3 How many bedrooms do you have?

Answered: 449 Skipped: 0



	1	2	3	4+	Total
Number:	**1.34%** 6	**10.02%** 45	**47.88%** 215	**40.76%** 183	449

Q4 Your property:

Answered: 449 Skipped: 0



Social Rented | Shared Ownership | Privately Rented | Owner Occupied | Other

	Social Rented	Shared Ownership	Privately Rented	Owner Occupied	Other	Total
Is your property:	**1.56%** 7	**1.34%** 6	**2.23%** 10	**93.99%** 422	**0.89%** 4	449

#	Other (please specify)	
1	Hastoe Housing Association New Buildings The Beckers	
2	Shared Accommodation	
3	Council House	
4	Tied accommodation	

Q5 Please tell us about yourself

Answered: 449 Skipped: 0



	Under 16	16undefined24	25undefined34	35undefined54	55undefined64	65undefined74	75 and over	Total
Are you	**0.22%** 1	**1.78%** 8	**3.79%** 17	**29.18%** 131	**21.60%** 97	**29.84%** 134	**13.59%** 61	449

Q6 Employment

Answered: 449 Skipped: 0



Retired | Employed Part-time | Employed Full-time | Self-employed | Unemployed

	Retired	Employed Partundefinedtime	Employed Fullundefinedtime	Selfundefinedemployed	Unemployed	Total
Are you:	**47.88%** 215	**14.92%** 67	**24.28%** 109	**10.24%** 46	**2.67%** 12	449

Q7 How many vehicles are there in your household?

Answered: 449 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Average Number	Total Number	Responses
Motorcycles	**0.50**	**32**	64
Cars	**1.82**	**814**	448
Vans etc	**0.45**	**30**	66
Total Respondents: 449			

Q8 Should we be identifying areas of special character or conservation?

Answered: 449 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
YES	**96.44%**	433
NO	**3.56%**	16
Total		449

Q9 Does the Village have special areas of ecology that should be protected?

Answered: 449 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
YES	**84.63%**	380
NO	**3.79%**	17
DON'T KNOW	**11.58%**	52
Total		449

Q10 Should we identify important gaps between settlements in order to protect the setting of Crawley Down?

Answered: 449 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
YES	**95.77%**	430
NO	**4.23%**	19
Total		449

Q11 The Plan should quantify the need for additional housing and allocate land to meet this need

Answered: 446 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Disagree	**17.26%**	77
Disagree	**13.90%**	62
Neither Agree nor Disagree	**13.45%**	60
Agree	**39.46%**	176
Strongly Agree	**15.92%**	71
Total		446

Q12 If the village needs additional housing should this be met:

Answered: 446 Skipped: 3



	On previously developed land?	On suitable greenfield sites?	Total Respondents
Within village boundaries?	**95.33%** 347	**12.36%** 45	364
At edges of village boundaries?	**51.35%** 57	**59.46%** 66	111
Other (please specify below)	**98.15%** 53	**22.22%** 12	54

#	Other -	
1	I believe we have reached capacity	
2	we should stop further development as the village cannot support additional people	
3	Furnace Wood	
4	WELL OUTSIDE THE VILLAGE BOUNDRIES	
5	We have enough houses	
6	NO COMMENT	
7	Ideally not at all. NOT on Greenfield sites.	
8	Far away as possible	
9	We have enough housing. No more needed.	
10	Avoid all the infill	
11	anywhere there is space. village boundaries unimportant	
12	brownfield sites	
13	Anywhere that warrants building upon, like Palmers shabby garage, or like next to the church, in a disused or unnecessarily empty field.	
14	Doesn't need it	
15	None	
16	None	
17	THE VILLAGE DOES NOT NEED ADDITIONAL HOUSING	
18	By making sure existing housing is fully utilised - or building elsewhere. Important to preserve size and character of village and I cannot see urgent need for housing..... where are all the homeless people at the moment??	
19	I think there has been enough development of new houses in the village	
20	On brownfield sites within Sussex	
21	Brown field sites East of Turners Hill Rd + near Copthorne roundabout	

22	NONE	
23	Must have new schools	
24	No proven need for more houses. The local employment is in Crawley, East Grinstead & Gatwick so people need to live there to avoid commuting.	
25	brownfield sites	
26	inand around unkept areas within village	
27	The village doesn't need more houses, we've had more than our fair share over recent years.	
28	Anywhere. Need for more homes for young people is critical	
29	Where it is clearly reasonable and sustainable.	
30	demolition of larger plots and reorganization of dwellings on that plot within the Village Boundarys.	
31	This is not straight forward to answer - it depends on the extent of the building and should be sympathetic to the existing village.	
32	Leave alone	
33	None	
34	none	
35	None	
36	Not at all	
37	Infill as well	
38	Village boundaries on brownfield sites	
39	I can't really see where land might be available	
40	Brownfield sites	
41	on brownfield sites	
42	NO	
43	No	
44	No More building	
45	I feel it is very important that the village maintains the green spaces within and certain areas around the village as well. However, I also agree that the need for new housing must be met.	
46	brownfield only	
47	Not within gaps between CD and Copthorne. Maintain village boundaries.	
48	re-use of 'brown field' sites wherever possible	
49	CD is fully expanded in excess of relaistic acceptable size	
50	In other villages within the parish	
51	Aywhere handy. Ideally close to village centre. But need for more homes is acute.	
52	The village is over full and cannot take more development. Inadequate schools, roads & health services.	
53	None	
54	None	
55	None	

Q13 Crawley Down Village needs additional housing to meet the needs of older people.

Answered: 446 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Disagree	**9.64%**	43
Disagree	**19.51%**	87
Neither Agree nor Disagree	**40.36%**	180
Agree	**25.34%**	113
Strongly Agree	**5.16%**	23
Total		446

Q14 Crawley Down Village needs additional low cost housing (i.e. social rented and shared ownership housing)

Answered: 446 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Disagree	**26.68%**	119
Disagree	**22.87%**	102
Neither Agree nor Disagree	**24.89%**	111
Agree	**21.52%**	96
Strongly Agree	**4.04%**	18
Total		446

Q15 Any other comments on housing?

Answered: 167 Skipped: 282

#	Responses	
1	20 years ago Mother in law found sheltered housing at Turners Hill Starter homes at £200,000 plus are too expensive for young home owners to use before theirmThirties (generally)	
2	Really need to maintain the sense of being a village	
3	No more housing please	
4	No more infill. Stay inside boundaries	
5	Too much housing already. School cannot cope. Crawley has plenty of low cost housing and is building more	
6	Additional housing only for genuine need, not "want" The village is already creaking at the seams	
7	I would add to No 14 for members of Crawley Down Community, esp families, old people & young people whose homes are in Crawley Down	
8	Build starter homes for our young not 5 & 6 bedroom luxury houses	
9	Need to build low cost housing for first time buyers	
10	The village needs more infrastructure (if more building goes ahead) ie sewer, adequate school	
11	How do you quantify an accurate need? Continual development will never be enough. The village has already reached saturation	
12	Bungalows needed for older people.	
13	Crawley Down is already being over developed. The developments being constructed at the moment should be sufficient. This is after all a village, not a town.	
14	Further housing developments MUST take account of current infrastructure and services - Eg; Medical, Education.	
15	The neighbourhood Plan housing requirements over the next 20 years can be obtained by infill brown sites keeping the village boundaries intact.	
16	I moved here because I wanted to live in a small village area. I could not get my six year old into the local school OR Felbridge because both were over-subscribed. The village already cannot cope!	
17	Leave the village as a village without car parks. Keep it green.	
18	Low cost / Social housing without driveway / garage clogs roads with parked cars	
19	The village and present amenities cannot cope with extent of current development.	
20	Criteria for the allocation of social housing should avoid tenuous links to the village and should be time limited. New housing should not be given architectural licence to experiment with unsympathetic designs. Look at dark skies policy and future provision of utilities incl. water.	
21	The population of the UK has grown by around 10% in the past 20 years. There is no evidence to suggest it will be more in the next 20 years. Additional housing should be 10% of present housing. Resist the move to rehouse the UK population in the south-east, which is driven by developers trying to maximise profits.	
22	New housing should be sympathetic with original housing stock. Not ugly warehouse styling boxes with excessive inner city street lighting. This is supposed to be the countryside.	
23	not enough homes for young people. village is dying.	
24	Not beyond boundaries	
25	For 14, additional low cost housing needs should be met if there is a need in real terms, ie if the council currently has a current waiting list. But on their website, the list/survery I found was from 2007 and I could not find a more updated list. We do already have quite a lot of low-cost housing sites so perhaps the need is currently met?	
26	There should be more smaller starter homes. The majority of recently built new homes are larger, detached properties with prices way beyond the reach of young people trying to get on the property ladder	
27	In my opinion people only want to move into Crawley Down because they want to live in a "village". The builders exploit this and show no regard to how all these people will be serviced by schools etc. The more houses built the less a village it will be.	
28	Please no more houses like the ugly monstrosities like those at the Beckhams in Hophurst Lane - They are awful - a disgrace to the village	
29	Crawley Down has had enough housing development (too much in some respects) already. No significant further development is needed	

30	We need to undertake a housing needs survey so that we know that there is a need for social housing in the village. This should be available for people in the village so that if there is a need local people can get the houses	
31	But should be in keeping with present housing. The site at the Haven is an eyesore	
32	There should be provision for those wishing to purchase smaller properties independently of shared ownership schemes.	
33	Re question 14: What about provision for first time buyers wanting to buy outright. The village should not be greatly enlarged. It would ruin the character of the village.	
34	The infrastructure is stretched at present and the local schools, community facilities and roads can not cope with additional housing. Far greater planning needs to be conducted with additional resources in place prior to commencing any additional building work.	
35	Crawley Down Village has become overcrowded in the short few years we have lived here due to over development in this period. The doctor surgery cannot offer appointments within 6 weeks, the school is full, there is no where to park by the shops as people are now driving from further afield but still within the village instead of walking. There is simply no further room for more housing. Added to this is the woefully old (Victorian??) sewage pipe system which cannot cope with additional spurs to new housing. Crawley Down Village is a pretty English village in the county of Sussex. Let's maintain some of our English countryside beauty instead of turning it into what could become a small town which will almost certainly attract a large supermarket chain to build on a neighbouring greenfield site if the land owner is offered the right price.	
36	Strongly believe that greenfield sites should be maintained to support the continuation of a village identity. Brownfield sites or other areas of previous development should be first choice for building IF it is required.	
37	The village is already over subscribed	
38	Already the school and drs can not cope, traffic is also on the up	
39	We're too full already. Do not really need much additional housing - just enough to cater for new generations.	
40	From this questionnaire it's entirely obvious that because this is social housing, that we live in and pay for we are have been dubbed by owner's of properties in Crawley Down, lesser beings even though every single one of The Beckers residents has a local connection and a need for affordable housing, we are hard working families, our community is one of solidarity, we will vote in favour for the houses to be built, we all have friends and families that live in crawley Down, with needs of housing for their families, relatives that worked and died in Crawley Down, if you look upon us with mis guided conceptions of what is right and wrong then you look down upon our elders, who helped shaped this community, it's okay for people who have nothing better to do in their bought houses with land to boot and money to burn than feel Crawley Down is lessened by well built, afforable housing who can hang around at the park shouting at children and mothers who have no idea what their sad little rant is about, no objections from professional bodies have raised objections, we can only hope that they are built regardless of mindless comments with no backbone or reason to object.	
41	When does development stop? At what point does one say, it's full? The infrustructure, especially local roads, water & sewage system are already streched.	
42	I 100% want to see more affordable houses in crawley down its ok for those sitting with a massive house and a mortgage but for those of us who have been in the village a long time and want to stay in the village but cant afford a mortgage thats were these houses at affordable rent are brilliant and i really hope they are approved i really hope the people against this one day there children need an affordable house!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	
43	village is small and cannot accommodate more houses and more traffic	
44	Land cannot go on and on being allocated - when we first moved into the village MSDC very strong on keeping EG/CD as being separate areas	
45	Owner opccupied flats needed for oplder people as they have to give up their owner occupied houses - flats needed within walking distance of village centre.	
46	Crawley Down has been subjected tomany small and larger scale building developments and has already accommodated its share. perhaps some development tucked away in Furnace Wood might be appropriate?	
47	Should be all built in keeping with present housing and not overlooking and cramping present housing	
48	More houses are obviously needed but should not be built on land used as essential village amenities, ie sports fields/ village green/ allotments/ cricket field. Only reasonable infilling should be permitted	
49	We are meant to be a village but will become a town at the rate we are going	
50	I moved from council estate and don't want Crawley Down to end up as an "estate" Want to keep it as a "village" not a "town"	
51	It has now been over developed	
52	Crawley Down is over populated now	
53	The proposed plan is based on out of date information. There has been many new houses built in the village since this plan. New developments and infill. Too much developments will not be sustainable.	
54	Infilling sites within the village destroys its character.	
55	no more building please	

56	MOST OF ANY ADDITIONAL HOUSING PRIVATE OR SOCIAL WILL TAKEN BY PEOPLE FROM OUTSIDE THE AEREA OF CRAWLEY DOWN NO MATTER WHAT IS CLAIMED BY THE POWERS THAT BE	
57	It feels as though we are at saturation point with current houses to be finished taken into account	
58	Our village is beautiful and very peaceful. There have been a few larger housing developments taking place recently but we cannot continue to develop at this pace without a detrimental impact on the "village" atmosphere that we have. Please preserve our village.	
59	it is necessary and a head in the sand is not helpful. We must however be extremely watchful about how many, where and for Gods sake pin them down over the design, please. those new boxes next to Haven are appalling. Give the designers more than one pencil and demand more. This village is fabulous and it deserves maintaining, please.	
60	Projects have been started and the for one reason or another have been halted, so now as a village, we have two unfinished building sites. On other sites the houses are not sold yet, which indicates that the village is at saturation point. the sewers have already experienced problems which will increase with more housing.	
61	The Village is expanding on its edges alarmingly. soon it will be too big to be a village, more of a town. The towns to the south of West sussex quite clearly have an agenda to have the majority of the housing dumped in out local area to protect there area's.	
62	Building should not go beyond the village boundary	
63	"brown site" building should be strictly controlled to match existing developments. Urban sprawl could destroy the bvillage. Strict limits to development should be set and adhered to.	
64	Low cost housing not necessarily ideal for Crawley Down as amenities within the village are limited. Low cost housing better suited to Crawley or East Grinstead	
65	Area not suitable of social housing due to lack of transport + affordable shopping Not enough space in local school at the moment Not enough capacity at local GP	
66	No greenfield sites to be developed. No more development. The village cannot cope Saying no more IS an option. Say it please	
67	Don't build. Infrastructure cannot cope We have already social housing going up in the village with possibility of more going up at Woodside? when they get go ahead. can't keep building in village, amentites can't cope, schools etc	
68	Only if infrastructure can support development. A new school is needed before social housing	
69	This is a village not a council estate	
70	Don't want it Never want it	
71	We feel the proposed site of Turners Hill Rd is unsuitable. It will create more problems traffic wise etc. A more proposed site would be on Hophurst lane, which was the old garden centre	
72	In order of preference - within village boundarie (first prev developed, then greenfield), edge of village (greenfield). Affordability for young people is a problem. Shared ownership can help. I think we have sufficient social rented now	
73	The school will be over subscribed	
74	I believe there has been enough housing developed recently, ongoing and planned for the next few years at least & we should see the impact of this before more housing is planned! Do we have the local infrastructure to support more?	
75	The village is already feeling the strain of existing developments. The school, surgery, in and out water, roads, parking, shops - existing infrastructure is not coping and with increasing over population of what is a village.	
76	It shouldn't be on green fields anywhere!	
77	Quantify need. If more houses are proven to be required, much care needs to be taken on sites considered. Infrastructure needs to be able to cope	
78	There is too much housing development planned for the village. Has any assessment of the potential impact on house prices to existing property within the village been taken in to account when planning for new housing development? what about any loss of attraction of the village to new residents? Will people want to move to the village when so much potential development is taking place? I suspect not. What draws people to Crawley Down is the quiet close knit community - this is gradually going to be eroded leaving this as just a suburb of Crawley which cannot cope with the growing population as infrastructure/facilities have not been improved.	
79	CD is over developed for the available infrastructure.	
80	Shared ownership housing works out more expensive than renting or full ownership because rent has to be paid on top of mortgage payments.	
81	Adding more housing to our village will mean more traffic and expansion of our school. There is also the need to look at the utilities infrastructure that has already proved to be failing due to the increase in housing already completed in the village	
82	Surely we have enough now with social housing in Kings Acre, new lot on the green and new homes in Buckley Place	

83	low cost housing should be as it states low cost - low cost housing for young people or first time buyers to purchase without part buy, part rent	
84	We probably do need more low cost housing but let us aim for a good standard. Personally I think that the low cost housing next to Haven Centre is an eyesore	
85	Crawley down has suffered a huge amount of development causing disruption, stress and discomfort to its suffering inhabitants. I'm moving into a new home in Rufwood and whilst I cannot do anything about the stress the residents have suffered as a result of my house being built, I fully sympathise and understand their desire for peace and preservation of their village. From what I've seen, CD is struggling to support its current population infrastructurally let alone with additional residents and with the new developments already underway (including the large site by Kings Acre) surely this is enough?	
86	I feel very strongly any additional building in the village should be strictly within the village boundary to preserve Crawley Down as a village.	
87	need more family homes and homes for young people. too many old people already	
88	we need to integrate younger people to Buy into our Community.	
89	I accept that, like many areas, we have to accept a quota of new housing, but this should be restricted to preserve the integrity of village life and be supported by a strengthened infrastructure.	
90	The Kings Acre building site should be the last large development in Crawley Down especially as this is on the perimeter of the village. Existing infrastructures such as the primary school, GP surgery and parking in the village centre are already functioning at full capacity. All future development should be small scale.	
91	Further significant housing projects will stretch existing infrastructure to 'bursting point' and should not be allowed to proceed. School is full, Medical Centre appears to struggle with demand etc etc. Also more major housing will destroy the existing village 'feel'. That's what we live in, a village, not a small town.	
92	Quantifying the need for additional housing is the first step of any process, however, after this process a debate is then needed about the balance between this and retaining the character of the village - it may not be able to grow to meet the numbers established. We need the information before we can have the debate. Low cost housing would seem, by its nature dependent on, additional proximity of infrastructure - transport links, availability of employment and health and education provision. This is real local issue and presently inadequate.	
93	I am totally dismayed to learn that the WPC voted against the proposed new social housing development. Of all people, they should know the great need for this.	
94	Really need to look after youngsters. Too many high value homes being built.	
95	Over the past four years, the village has grown more than needed. ie; about 5% in 2 years. This needs to be curbed.	
96	Too many houses being built now. Where are al the services going to come from? Water is in short supply when we have warm weather, hose pipe bans and unable to use dish washer.	
97	Development will provide important economic stimulus during an era of recession. However environmental concerns must be observed. In essence this is an issue with capitalism, please research online.	
98	There are several sites being developed for low cost housing which should cater for present needs. The last survey done on this was in 2011 - by now it is out of date and needs reviewing.	
99	Hope not no more builds at Crawley Down because too many everywhere. STOP IT.	
100	Additional housing puts too much of a strain upon already over-stretched amenities - ie; Water and Power and adds to already congested roads in the area.	
101	It needs affordable housing for young adults working locally	
102	If we carry on building in Crawley Down there is a need for a larger school to service the growing population	
103	Social housing needs to be in many small blocks. The consequences of not doing so are plain to see in adjacent villages	
104	Don't know the need for low cost housing. If needed should be made available to those already living in the village as a priority	
105	The village is not large enough to sustain any further housing with current size of parking/dental/doctor/school facilities	
106	Not aware of local statistics	
107	Disagree that it needs it	
108	Housing for residents or people directly connected with the village ONLY	
109	I disagree that the village can take any more housing without substantial improvements made to the infrastructure ie, roads, water, main drainage, electricity etc	
110	Additional housing already happenning is causing transport and ecological problems. It should not be further developed	
111	I can't really see where land might be available for any additional housing	
112	The village infrastructure cannot cope with any more houses	

113	Open field/infill should NOT be used. Vacant land should NOT be used for building	
114	Improve infrastructure	
115	Improve infrastructure	
116	Use existing sites within the village. Off road parking essential.	
117	Off road parking	
118	We need more housing for our age group (20-30) but not social housing	
119	I am opposed to any more development. Where has our village gone ? !!	
120	The cost of housing to buy and rent in Crawley Down is astronomical. We are priced out of it and currently live with my mum - 3 adults + 3 children in 3 bedroom, 1 bathroom house	
121	NO MORE HOUSING	
122	Far too much indiscriminate building has already taken place No more building	
123	People should get out and go to work and earn what they want. If that means they can't afford to live in the village, so be it.	
124	Needs for young people	
125	There should be NO DEVELOPMENT to the west of the of the Turners Hill Road (A2036?)	
126	The housing provided in the village should closely reflect the needs of the village itself. These needs will be considerably different to the needs of neighboring areas, for example East Grinstead or Copthorne, and as such the housing situation should not be treated in the same way.	
127	Note: Responder emphasised "satisfy needs of people living in or having connections to Crawley Down village" by triple underlining and questioned "older people" using "??" AMB	
128	Many long term residents now getting older would like to downsize in the village. Need some new bungalows and quality private apartments but very few are available. Consider new build in the new build areas	
129	If there are to be more houses the infrastructure needs updating The roads and schools will not cope with the large increases in population	
130	Mildly agree about the need to quantify housing. The character of the village should be maintained and over development is to be avoided	
131	The shared ownership housing is too expensive for younger people who have grown up in the village, the valuation of previous shared ownership housing has been higher priced than existing houses on the market in the village. Single people have no chance to progress to the top of the housing list, In Horsham people are graded on the time they have been on the list.	
132	I don't have enough information about the demand for low cost housing in the village. My general view is that recent and proposed new housing developments are putting great strain on the village infrastructure.	
133	"Affordable " housing in this area is already very expensive, but proirity should be for local people with connections to the Village..The village boundary MUST be preserved, otherwose we will merge with Turners Hill, copthorne etc. As the population ages, we must make prevision for older, long- standing residents.	
134	Having lived in the village for a considerable number of years, I do believe that there is a need for redevelopment in the area to encourage a younger population into the village. We have to look to the future and build up the great community spirit that the village once had. There is a small minority of residents that feel that they are the "voice" of Crawley Down with their objections to any developments in the village. They do not seem to release that by encouraging a younger population into the village this will encourage retailers into the village which in turn will help support the older generation with their needs. Let's stop this minority making Crawley Down a forgotten village.	
135	There is a need for small one bedroom and 2 brdroom houses for young people to buy but not neccesarily social housing, thee schemes are hard for local young people to get on to, but some of the young people currently living in the village could afford to buy a small starter home but most of the village are family homes.	
136	its low	
137	We need infrastructure before we accept any more houses. Particularly schools, Doctors, parking and THR traffic We also need to prevent over development by stealth - small developments which don't attract much attention but build to a much larger whole and unrestricted infill. Social housing only on genuine NEED to live in the village. "Want"/lifestyle is not a NEED. Tandridge has declared a surplus of housing land so won't allow building at Felbridge. Crawley is building several thousand homes. Why aren't MSDC working across boundaries?	
138	The amenities (school, doctor, etc) cannot support an increase in population. There are plenty of places in nearby towns like crawley where there is social housing and places that could be redeveloped to build more. There is no need to build in green field sites in the village, putting pressure on amenities and damaging the environment.	
139	Shared ownership and flats I think are important to bring new public sector / professions to the village which will provide more customers for our shops and hopefully secure their future	
140	I feel the village is being over developed with the Grange road development and future proposed developments	

141	Whilst I do not object to houses being built to accommodate the general needs of local people, the Council seem to be able to build where ever they like even if there are many objections or the land has previously been designated as outside the boundary or not to be built on. The houses on Hophurst Lane at the top of Burleigh way are an example of this. There seems to be little attempt to use old disused sites, for example Felbridge Nurseries. This would be ideal for housing, would not impact on other houses in the area and a number of properties could be put on this site.	
142	If the present negativity in Crawley Down towards building anything new had always excisted we would not have been able to watch the village grow and evolve. So surely that is the way forward for the future. If not the alternative is for the village to stagnate and die.	
143	Crawley Down village caters very well for older people. Social, rented property can bring neighbouring unrest and domestic upset	
144	Would like to see Crawley Down "Village" maintain its current name - too much building on the outskirtsof the "village" ie green fields will turn the "village" into a "town"	
145	limit new housing to within village boundaries, stop garden grabbing where new development is inappropriate.	
146	I would like there to be houses for my children to afford so they don't feel they have to move away.	
147	The major problem with building more and more houses is the number of extra vehicles this brings with it.The parking situation in the village around the pub and shops is already almost impossible.Many times i have had to give up and go home and try another time.Also there is a need for a disabled parking spaces near the shops.	
148	The strategic gap between CD and Copthorne/East Grinstead must be maintained.	
149	Improving the use of existing land WITHOUT building in private gardens would be a good balance.	
150	We need more housing to bring younger people in the village with families, otherwise the village will die with just old people who take up larger houses with just one person occupying them.	
151	The share of additional housing within Worth Parish should not be allocated chiefly to Crawley Down; Copthorne should get its share too.	
152	More homes desperately needed. Village is ageing - as people live longer there is less turnover of housing, and less families in the village (as children grow up and are forced to move out due to lack of housing) so the population falls. Village will die if it doesn't build more homes. Businesses in it will not be able to survive. Jobs will be lost. Everything will close and even the old people will have to go to East Grinsted to shop.	
153	Low cost housing is inappropriate in a village.	
154	Don't forget! We are making provision for the next 20+ years!	
155	Its curious that people who have moved into the new social housing on the Beckers cannot afford to buy a house but they have better cars than me and have more than one and other luxuries but they cannot afford a house. They need to get their priorities right, like we had to when we started out.	
156	There should be a limit on number of houses. Other areas/villages should be considered. Copthorne East site is far better	
157	No more houses until roads are repaired or replaced. We have had enough new houses built, need to spend money on improving CD infrastructure	
158	There should not be any building to the west of Turners Hill Rd. Maintain break between Crawley and Crawley Down	
159	A limit should be set on the number of new houses in relation to existing houses say 5% max 200	
160	Additional housing not at edge of village boundaries. Question on low cost housing cannot be answered until up to date figures are established.	
161	Until you update facts nobody knows (about needs of older people or social housing)	
162	The social housing should be for local people not people just wanting to live in Crawley Down	
163	This should be for LOCAL first time buyers. We are not catering for our children who are or wish to live in their own homes, but are on low pay - especially the boys (single)	
164	Affordable housing needs to be affordable. Values on properties provided for sale as affordable are too high	
165	We need the infrastructure to suppport any further development, roads, park and ride, improved bus service to support shift workers	
166	With the infill to existing properties and extensions to properties this will provide enoughto fill local needs and without extending the village boundaries	
167	Moe houses mean more people and more children, yet the school has been made smaller and the land sold off for housing. Whose ??? idea was that?	

Q16 Crawley Down Village has a wide range of shops to serve local people

Answered: 446 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Disagree	**2.91%**	13
Disagree	**14.35%**	64
Neither Agree nor Disagree	**13.23%**	59
Agree	**58.52%**	261
Strongly Agree	**10.99%**	49
Total		446

Q17 Local businesses should be supported and protected.

Answered: 446 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Disagree	**0.67%**	3
Disagree	**0.90%**	4
Neither Agree nor Disagree	**3.81%**	17
Agree	**55.16%**	246
Strongly Agree	**39.46%**	176
Total		446

Q18 Any other comments on Economy and Business?

Answered: 89 Skipped: 360

#	Responses	
1	Local business = local employment Reduces need to travel long distances to employment	
2	Good for community spirit.	
3	Car parking needs to be sufficient to support the local shops	
4	We need local employment	
5	Local SHOPS should be supported.	
6	We are supposed to be a village not overspill for Crawley or East Grinstead.	
7	Could do with one less hairdresser with added betting shop.	
8	The village must be allowed to develop naturally, NOT via dictates from outside.	
9	We're just right as is.	
10	Local businesses should be supported, not necessarily "protected".	
11	Pity the Co Op isn't larger	
12	Crawley Down has better shops than many other villages. The community must use them to keep them, but the local authority cannot afford to subsidise them or other businesses at the expense of other amenities.	
13	A hardware shop would be a great asset.	
14	more homes needed to allow population (particularly young) to grow and support business and employment.	
15	At the moment	
16	Local shops are at the centre of the village and are a meeting place for residents as well as provide valuable services	
17	The local amenities are good--lovely pub, post office, pharmacy, doctors, dentist, and retail and food outlets. Plus the schools. I think that if these services were to be enlarged that would be a pity, and unnecessary. More houses or more business--either way, it make the village become more and more like a town. Which it isn't.	
18	There will not be enough provision for future householders unless plans include a large commercial development which would destroy the village.	
19	WE are lucky to have a good selection of shops and the Post Office and we should all support them as much as we can	
20	The number of shops needs to be limited in order to preserve being a village.	
21	Shops are good but have lost a stationery provision/ no real selection of cards/ no gift shop.	
22	Parking restrictions (double yellow lines) are a disincentive to use the local village centre shops	
23	For the number of houses being built there needs to be more shops to support the ever growing community	
24	All local businesses should be supported but are not always by the residents themselves as we lost shops this way	
25	Local businesses should be encouraged by discounted rent and rates	
26	We have all that we need and they are very good	
27	Already too many people for the service we have	
28	We are fortunate in the shops we have and they are well supported. More large developments will cause chaos on the roads around the village. More parking will be needed, at the loss of more green space.	
29	we have a booming parade	
30	LOCAL BUISNESS DOES VERY WELL ALREADY	
31	the main food store would benefit from a bit of extra space so it was easier for all including the elderly and disabled to use the current facilities easily	
32	Local businesses will thrive or fail depending on their own performance. If they are good businesses, the local residents will support them. We do not need to provide additional support for local businesses - they should fail or succeed on their own merits.	
33	absolutely vital to a heart of a village. You need only look at housing estates without shops. They lose their soul and vitality. This isnt a housing estate, its a village.	

34	The village has sufficient of retail outlets for its needs - no Tesco or Sainsbury etc at any price. We have East Grinstead, Three Bridges & Crawley just down the road for all our shopping requirements.	
35	Adequate car parking is required to support local businesses	
36	Need adequate car parking to support the businesses	
37	Let's have a greengrocer (indian take-aways for town centres)	
38	Fine as it is.	
39	We have very good village shops and these need to be supported fully, as the elderly rely on them	
40	We have sufficient shops for the current housing	
41	It is adequate for the community as it is currently. More houses will make it inadequate	
42	Will lack of parking send more people off to the nearest supermarket?	
43	The local shops provide a good service but would prove to become inadequate if the population of the village further increases! This would result in mobile personnel travelling elsewhere to shop, thereby having negative impact on village shops. Also inadequate parking already a big problem	
44	The shops need to be expanded significantly in order to serve the demand of the growing population of the village. The current central location of the shops is good but doesn't adequately serve it's purpose. In view of the significant development which has already taken place to date, in my view the shops should be relocated to a larger shopping parade perhaps on the edge of the village (doesn't sound like a village anymore does it!) as parking and capacity in the shops is a problem. This would benefit the local economy as people would be more inclined to shop locally wherever possible rather than going in to the main towns. Many people avoid the shops because they're so busy at peak times and unpleasant to shop in.	
45	If residents had a banking outlet and gift shop there would be less need to go outside the Village.	
46	Employment opportunities in the village do not support the case for increased husing.	
47	More parking	
48	Does the village need two hairdressing establishments	
49	I feel the mix of shops we have at present is very good and do not feel we need more shops in the village.	
50	need more people living in the village so the businesses can contiunue to survive. more homes would mean more people - esp for families and young people (i.e. affordable). growing proportion of old people will eventually force the shops and pub to close.	
51	areas should be introduced to include & expand local Shops and Bussines	
52	How long before a child is mowed down outside the village shops? Parking is at such a premium, people drive recklessly to grab that last space. This needs addressing before we invite 100's more into the village.	
53	I assume that most residents use the village businesses on a small scale for convenience, travelling to larger stores and local towns for the majority of their shopping needs. The local businesses supply a need and are sustained in the process.	
54	The parking problems at the village centre must be addressed.	
55	None	
56	The protection of current business, shops etc is not the same as the need for sustainable economic growth. For a village the current range of shops and business seems appropriate, these need to be protected, but there is no need for expansion. Its a village.	
57	Many more shops are needed. Most people drive to East Grinstead or Crawley.	
58	Economic forces should lead how businesses develop	
59	The village is well suited to having a weekly farmers market in the centre. This should promote new commerce suitable to the village and help existing shops	
60	There are not enough shops for the amount of people currently here with more it will definitely not be enough	
61	I believe there is sufficient local amenities to support the existing population	
62	Use village hall as internet offices so folk can walk/bike to the office rather than work at home or drive to work	
63	Use village hall as internet offices so folk can walk/bike to the office rather than work at home or drive to work	
64	Essential to the life of the community	
65	Look to the future	
66	Would be lovely to have a bakers! Not 2 hairdressers! Extend Co-op	
67	The village is an important aspect of community life	
68	With regard to Q16 (shops) I believe to some degree that free market economics should be pursued	

69	a bakery would be good and extended co-op plus Martins would be good. push chairs/wheelchairs do not fit in well	
70	Local business, not outside interest	
71	Any application for "change of use" from retail to other use should be strongly resisted!	
72	While the range of shops and businesses in Crawley Down might not be as extensive as those found in nearby towns, I feel this matches the nature of the village itself. Provisions in the Co-op are certainly adequate for most, and those who need more than is available in the village are probably well served by neighboring areas. As far as local non-shopping businesses are concerned, while I agree that is important that local people should receive the support they deserve, I also understand that most people living in the village who do work will work outside of the village. I know many people commute that into London, and I'm sure many work in Crawley as well. Because of this, I feel that the support of local business is perhaps not as important as other areas which could be focused on, but should not be ignored entirely.	
73	Will need a few more shops, but where?	
74	We are fortunate in having the shops we do. It's hard to see where any new ones could go.	
75	Support local businesses to provide more employment and reduce the need to residents to commute- traffic is a bit issue in the Village	
76	We are in a unique position to have a good selection of shops that cover all major needs	
77	with the increase of houses the main food shop (co op) needs to be expanded	
78	We need more local employment and better public transport before we allow any more social housing	
79	A larger coop would be benificial.	
80	The Village has a good selection of shops but parking is still an issue and more houses in the Village would only add to this problem.	
81	Crawley Down village badly needs an ironmongers or DIY shop	
82	Small ironmongers shop desperately required	
83	There could be more shops but in general the village stores we have are very well received, the Co-op for example could be twice the size!	
84	There is only so much business that a village can support before it becomes a town. The parish would then become Crawley Down Town and Copthorne Village! If the Parish Council wants to put all these additional houses in Crawley Down I expect it would need to consider employment and travelling to work issues unless they are within walking distance. Buses are too few and far between and expensive to be an alternative to using the car.	
85	Looking at other, older, villages in the area, they appear to have more shops. With the size of CD, a few more shops would be a good idea.	
86	Best way to support local businesses is to get more people living in the village. So more homes needed.	
87	Let's keep more of disposable income within the village.	
88	We need to improve the aternative shops. E. Grinstead is becoming a ghost town	
89	Shop parking needs improving. Fine people who park on yellow lines.	

Q19 Crawley Down Village has adequate road provision.

Answered: 446 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Disagree	**11.88%**	53
Disagree	**19.73%**	88
Neither Agree nor Disagree	**13.23%**	59
Agree	**45.07%**	201
Strongly Agree	**10.09%**	45
Total		446

Q20 Is there adequate provision of car parking within the village?

Answered: 446 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	**26.46%**	118
No	**73.54%**	328
Total		446

Q21 Crawley Down Village has adequate public transport provision.

Answered: 446 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Disagree	**7.85%**	35
Disagree	**22.42%**	100
Neither Agree nor Disagree	**23.77%**	106
Agree	**43.05%**	192
Strongly Agree	**2.91%**	13
Total		446

Q22 Crawley Down Village has adequate provision for pedestrian safety.

Answered: 446 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Disagree	**8.30%**	37
Disagree	**24.66%**	110
Neither Agree nor Disagree	**23.09%**	103
Agree	**41.93%**	187
Strongly Agree	**2.02%**	9
Total		446

Q23 Crawley Down Village has adequate provision for cycle routes

Answered: 446 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Disagree	**7.40%**	33
Disagree	**19.51%**	87
Neither Agree nor Disagree	**21.97%**	98
Agree	**43.50%**	194
Strongly Agree	**7.62%**	34
Total		446

Q24 Crawley Down Village has sufficient provision for informal open space and sporting facilities

Answered: 446 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Disagree	**4.04%**	18
Disagree	**17.26%**	77
Neither Agree nor Disagree	**13.45%**	60
Agree	**60.54%**	270
Strongly Agree	**4.71%**	21
Total		446

Q25 Crawley Down Village has adequate provision for health facilities

Answered: 446 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Disagree	**15.92%**	71
Disagree	**24.89%**	111
Neither Agree nor Disagree	**12.56%**	56
Agree	**42.15%**	188
Strongly Agree	**4.48%**	20
Total		446

Q26 Crawley Down Village has adequate provision for cultural and religious activities.

Answered: 446 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Disagree	**1.12%**	5
Disagree	**5.61%**	25
Neither Agree nor Disagree	**34.53%**	154
Agree	**54.48%**	243
Strongly Agree	**4.26%**	19
Total		446

Q27 Any other comments?

Answered: 119 Skipped: 330

#	Responses	
1	20 years ago there was little to do other than football for 10-20 year olds. Has this improved?	
2	Adequate health facilities at present NOT for extra housing planned.	
3	Sufficient provision for the existing population. More people, more of everything needed	
4	Haven appears to be dominated by the Social Club and football club. It's no longer a village facility and the club is no longer a village club.	
5	With present population	
6	Cricket ground needs more parking rather than blocking Sandy Lane. Football club needs more pitches, but pitch should be moved away from the Haven and dedicated car parking given.	
7	Too long for an appointment at the Doctors. Fiasco over the Baby Clinic! Need more playing fields and car park at the Haven. Football has taken over.	
8	Need to protect open spaces and make sporting facilities more open. No place for an informal kickabout on a Saturday morning - the football club hogs everything"	
9	Health centre situation unacceptable. We would have more than enough sporting facilities for a village this size if the football club had not permanently taken one pitch and then swamped the others with players from outside the village.	
10	Too much emphasis on the football club. It's taking facilities away from other sports. The Haven is also supposed to be a village facility. Why so much space dedicated to people who don't live in the vilage?	
11	But will not support local tennis club - why? The surgery is already under pressure, more houses mean more patients but will we get more doctors	
12	Much better "signs" relating to Worth Way Cycles, as other footpaths being used.	
13	The village is under resourced by the Health centre. Waiting weeks rather than days to see preferred Doctor for a non urgent matter is not acceptable.	
14	Crawley Down is under pressure for schools play school parking and it takes weeks to get appointments with Doctors at Health Centre.	
15	It takes far too long to get Doctors appointments in Crawley Down already.	
16	With the obvious increase in population in C.D., there will undoubtedly be inadequate provision. Currently it becomes increasingly difficult to make an apt: with a G.P. This situation is inevitably going to worsen.	
17	It is essential that infrastructure services (Health / Schools) be improved before further influx of residents. GP waiting times are already unacceptable.	
18	Since the demise of the youth club, there is nothing for the teenagers to do in the summer, except hang around, get bored and cause trouble.	
19	Services ie; council infrastructure, health and education has to grow at equal pace with additional housing.	
20	Re Health Facilities:- increasingly difficult currently to obtain routine ad hoc appointments at CDHC	
21	Too much football support	
22	I think that there are good facilities in the village. But, of course, more houses will mean these facilities will become insufficient, which is a shame. For a village, we offer tennis, football and cricket and have leisure/public fields at King Georges, the village Green, Havens, and the Cricket Pitch. And lovely walks through Huntslands and the Worth Way and the walks up by Sand Hill Lane. We also have the allotments which are central and thriving.	
23	The children's play area at the bottom of the village green is woefully inadequate. As grandparents looking after our young grandchildren we find it necessary to drive to Turners Hill, Maidenbower, Tulleys Farm etc. to find adequate, stimulating recreation facilities for them. There is sufficient space available to expand/improve the current facilities. It just needs the willingness of the local authorities to provide them.	
24	At present population figures	
25	Crawley Down has adequate provision for most amenities but have concerns with new development that these will be inadequate unless infrastructure is considered to support expanding community	
26	Local GP practice must need more doctors - it takes much too long to get a non-urgent appointment with your own doctor	
27	With all the extra houses being built we feel the Health Centre is under strain and there is a need for more GPs so that we can get quicker appointments. It would be good for young mothers to be able to weigh their babies in the CEntreeas this would link with health care as it used to	
28	Doctor: very difficult to get appointment	

29	The health facilities are adequate at the moment, but with all the additional proposed housing it may become a problem, and with reference to parking the same concern is raised.	
30	With recent development within the village and no coordinated infrastructure development, roads especially around the village are nearing safe capacity. With the rise of multi adult occupancy of houses and the almost critical need for cars to be able to get to places of work, there has been a significant increase of traffic. Some days it is almost impossible to park in parts of the village due in part to many houses having 3 or 4 cars.	
31	Dr waiting times are getting worse	
32	Perhaps even more of a serious problem, not housing but actually turning up for your appointment at the doctors, over a thousand hours a month are wasted due to residents of crawley down not turning up to booked appointments with doctors, maybe we should leaflet that!	
33	Health facilities ie Doctors! Why do we have to wait so long for appointments. Is it lack of doctors or public misuse	
34	Whilst there is a number of sports available - general facilities for young children is not sufficient	
35	Adequate at present but should be scaled up in proportion to new development	
36	The doctors cannot cope with this continued growth of the area	
37	Opticians at Health Centre needed NHS dentist at Health Centre needed	
38	Crawley Down is now larger than it need be. New housing should be stopped because it's a village not a town but it's starting to lose its village size	
39	Sorry but I don't want any more religious buildings thank you	
40	Nothing else to do with religion	
41	Nice new health centre but impossible to get an appointment, so no more houses or it will be even worse!! School can't take any more pupils	
42	Whilst current provision meets current needs, any expansion in population would obviously call for additional provision.	
43	The local school is unable to expand due to land sale. If too many children move to the village, there will be a need to bus them to East Grinstead or Crawley.	
44	NO MORE BUILDING IS NEEDED LET THE GREEDY DEVELOPERS BUILD ELSEWHERE.	
45	dont make more car spaces. Its like the m25, you could keep widening it ad infinitum and more cars will keep filling more spaces. Make people walk, it will not kill them.	
46	Tremendous work goes on within the village in terms of providing sport for youngsters but there are not enough facilities to cater for the need which is a tremendous shame when 12 months ago everyone was talking about the legacy of the London Olympics. It is a shame when sporting groups have to turn children away because there are not enough pitches etc to meet demand, even more so with the village growing following the building of new houses	
47	The Worth Way and the many associated public footpaths make Crawley Down a very pleasant place to live.	
48	Very long wait for non urgent appointments to see a specific doctor Great to have tennis court, football pitches	
49	No more building developments please. Enough is enough. Please don't say you can't. Say No. You can, we all can and should.	
50	i)Although the new Health Centre was built 3 years ago, many rooms remain unoccupied. The planned facility for minor operations, physiotherapy and dentistry have not materialised and money on building has been wasteful ii) It is impossible to get a doctors appointment and the out of hours service is laughably inadequate iii) after care following hospital appointments are inadequate and unsatisfactory	
51	Re health facilities - we have a new health centre with UNUSED rooms. For example when I was referred for CBT last year, I had to go to Moat Rd, East Grinstead as the therapists no onger had a room at CD health centre. As I don't drive and the and the buses are so infrequent and never fitted in with appointments it took me a whole afternoon for a 1 hour appointment	
52	Infrastructure cannot cope as it is!	
53	The doctor's surgery should have capacity for more people were they to take on more doctors	
54	Health - it currently does (have adequate facilities) based on the housing to date but new houses ae being built and it will soon be over stretched. Helath visitor check ups have already been moved out of Crawley Down	
55	WE often have to go to East Grinstead for NHS check ups. So inadequate provision for health	
56	The facilities are struggling with current situation. More housing will pile on more pressure	
57	I think the Worth Way would benefit for a German style "Trimm Dich" fitness park (parcourse) where different exercises can be carried out using locally supplied natural materials	
58	No resource or venue for adult education courseson a permanent basis, be it academic, art/crafts, music, languages. EG or Crawley are the nearest - and very difficult to attend on a regular basis.	

59	Difficult to get appointments - Health Centre can't cope with ever increasing residents	
60	Health centre is already stretched - how are they going to cope with the increase to the population of the village? Village hall/Havens Centre needs to be refurbished in order to increase attractiveness as a sports/social venue for private hire and increase revenue. I really feel this is a missed opportunity.	
61	*25 We have a lovely Health centre and Doctors but disgraceful the time it takes get an appointment	
62	It's a great shame that the Tennis Club isn't allowed to develop to its full potential (and I back onto the field)	
63	There is nothing for teenagers to do in the village.	
64	The health centre facility is adequate but the service there is lacking in its efficiency	
65	With additional housing in the area road saafety becomes even more important. Pedestrian crossings very important	
66	We must preserve our open spaces in the village and should be aiming to support all our local sports and leisure facilities, they are vital to the health of our community within the village.	
67	we do need to introduce new religious and cultural religions into our Village.	
68	The health centre it seems to me is always far too busy and you have to make a case to see a doctor each time, or wait for weeks for a routine appointment. Either not enough doctors or badly managed when you have to tell a receptionist personal medical information for her to decide whether you deserve to see a doctor.	
69	I think we need to offer an alternative 'hang out' for teenagers, and better provision for young children. The existing park on the green is well used, but tired and quite small with so many new residents joining us.	
70	An increasingly elderly population will create a strain on health services, without any increase in resident numbers. The balance of leisure, health and cultural facilities is adequate at present, but will be pressured by an increased population and increased building often by filling in open space or leisure land, with no provision of adequate increase in open space and other facilities will soon destroy this balance.	
71	Football club take up too much space at the Haven. Don't see why I should have to pay, as we already own field.	
72	Doctors Surgery - Such a long wait for a non - emergency appointment. Primary School - Cannot cope with more social housing, this would be a detriment to locals with transport having to transport children out of the village - ridiculous!	
73	As an ethnic minority, I feel uncomfortable in the village due to numerous bigoted comments I've received over the past couple of years. It is for these reasons that I disagree with questions 22 and 24 (paper copy). To this extent, I feel that there must be provisions to encourage cultural diversity, especially in lieu of the increasing return of the right wing.	
74	Good for older people and young people into sports.	
75	Facilities are needed for older people and also young people.	
76	There are major problems with obtaining non urgent appointments at the Health Centre. I have had to wait up to three weeks in order to see my Doctor.	
77	Bowers Place could do with proper parking facilities ie on the side of the green.	
78	Haven field should not be just for football but should be FLEXIBLE multi-use	
79	There are not enough Doctors. It always takes too long to get appointments	
80	Always difficult to get Drs appointmentat short notice with own doctor	
81	Very few GP practice	
82	A sports facility should be added to the local plan	
83	Health Centre would struggle if much more housing is considered	
84	Need swimming pool	
85	Need swimming pool	
86	Leisure must be aimed to youngsters	
87	Very sad we lost our health visitors from the village	
88	The Health Centre is a good facility, but whether there is sufficient doctors etc is debatable with the current level of new houses	
89	Health services very stretched	
90	The Dr's need more appointments to be available. Health visitors need to frequent the village - there is plenty of space at Dr's	
91	There is a need for another park/swing area in the village	
92	We have a health centre but it is not used to it's full potential	
93	It's a good place to live though	

94	Although the health centre is larger than it used to be - a lot of the building seems to be unused so there are still the same problems as before.	
95	Rang up for Drs appointment 23/04/2013 - appointment date 16/05/2013. Need more doctors.	
96	We feel the health centre will not be able to cope with many more patients as it is already difficult to get appointments and the road access is restricted.	
97	Two of the roads out of the village on to the Turners Hill Road have dangerous junctions (Grange Road and Sandy Lane). The Sunny Avenue junction is better, but is clearly not suitable as a main exit.	
98	Spots clubs, like the Cricket and football, should be strongly supported. I don't have young children, so cannot comments on facilities for this age group	
99	Now that the football club has taken over the Haven it needs more parking and the residents need another 1.5 pitches. The waiting time for a non-urgent doctors appointment is unacceptable. Given that we are next door to a major airport hospital facilities are inadequate	
100	The cricket field on sandy lane, haven playing field and village green should all be protected. Health facilities cannot cope with the increased population from new housing with very long waits.	
101	More doctors in the surgery would be good.	
102	The provision for young children is quite poor, the skate park being tucked away and far too small, the play park is very dated and the equipment needs to be renewed	
103	Health centre excellent at the present moment	
104	Village facilities adequate at present - any more increase in village population will not be covered by existing facilities (Grange Rd, Evelyn Place + other infill housing still to come)	
105	people do not support the village as they should do. But ready to complain about people who try to do things to help us all.	
106	We have the Haven Centre, the Village Hall and now the church rooms which all have the possibility of holding sporting/leisure activities. The Football club is run by local people for all ages and the worth way is fantastic for bikes/walking/running.	
107	Inadequate provision has been made for toilet and refreshment facilities at the tennis club. Appalling! If it is due to inadequate planning applications, then the club should be so advised. Having removed the toilets in Sandy Lane the problem has been compounded. Health facilities do not allow people to get an appointment to see a doctor within a reasonable time. Some people wait six weeks. Cultural and religious activities are adequate at the moment but if we continue to grow then maybe not!	
108	Whilst the CDFC take over of the Haven sportsfield can't be overturned any further attempts by local clubs/groups to take sole control of open spaces/sports fields should be strongly resisted in my view.	
109	More areas for youth activities are needed	
110	It's got lots of facilities - Worth Way for example. Sussex Border Path. Rec facilities excellent. Football Club is good too, but needs more spectators (not enough people living here...)	
111	We need to further develop sports facilities for training/coaching etc	
112	Have you looked at the latest census records to "profile" the Crawley Down population to gauge the true needs?	
113	All my social activities take place outside the village	
114	The Health Centre cannot cope with much more influx of people. The service is at its limit	
115	The Turners Hill Rd should remain the west boundary of the village and no further development should be allowed on the other side	
116	There is no cultural activity in CD	
117	I am unable to answer some questions as I live on the outskirts of the village and use some facilities in Copthorne (church, surgery etc)	
118	Needs NHS Dentist Doctors full to capacity	
119	Needs an NHS Dental Surgery	

Q28 Please provide us with any other general comments.

Answered: 237 Skipped: 212

#	Responses	
1	Pedestrian safety - Crossing B2028 + village when busy I hope change and progress can be balanced harmoniously with the community	
2	I am glad to have the opportunity to vote against planned development. Our Parish cannot meet extra demand on roads, schools, health care.	
3	There are at the time of writing 2 building sites within the village where partially completed houses have been left boarded up for some months. Developers should be told that it makes no sense to start further development until, at the very least these houses have been finished and sold, thereby fulfilling a need which presumably was identified at the time when planning permission was given. Or are the developers delaying completion in the hope that house prices will rise the longer they delay!	
4	The Haven has turned into the football club instead of a community facility. Part of the common land is fenced off and the entire area is a mess when there is a match on. Not even a village club any more. Return the Haven to a village facility	
5	The Haven is a white elephant, which is near bankrupt. Sell it to the football club, they've more or less taken over any way. Match day and no-one else can get near the centre - or wants to. Whose stupid idea was it to let them play directly outside the hall and block use from other residents? It's no longer a village team	
6	The football club is dominating village sport. It's no longer a village team and the majority of people come from outside the village. The Haven is no longer a pleasant place because of them. Make them pay their way and ban suporters from letting their children run riot in the Hall	
7	Lovely village. Don't spoil it.	
8	We were lucky to be able to move here and love the village feel, but it is becoming more of a town without town facilities. Don't build any more. I sympathise with people who want to live in the village and can't afford to do so, but it does cost more because it is a nice place. Don't ruin it.	
9	Haven is useless - football club take over and foul language from players and social club makes for unpleasant events	
10	We moved here because it's a village. Don't let it become a town. Turn the Haven into a real village facility	
11	The Haven needs help. Make the Social Club and Football Club pay their way. Reserve parking for other Haven users. Ban people who habitually use foul language	
12	The Haven needs more parking as does the village hall. Problem at the Haven particularly bad on football match days when the football club claim half the parking for players and officials and supporters take the rest. Hophurst Hill becomes impassable with overspill and the Haven is effectively unlettable. They are virtually a professional club. Make them pay their way	
13	We need a more frequent bus service Not enough provision for pedestrian safety near school We all know new houses will be built but will the village infrastructure be developed to cope with them. WE do not want to lose our village "feel". Its what makes Crawley Down special.	
14	There needs to be an increase in capacity of the services in the village ie school, health centre before any more development takes place	
15	Lack of enforcement re Parking on double yellow lines outside Pub - causing mayhem at times, becoming extremely dangerous for drivers and pedestrians! Cyclists increasingly riding on public footpats on estate! Cars parked on pavements making footpaths dangerous	
16	The traffic in and around the village is a nightmare. I do not enjoy walking around my own village. No more housing should be built. The Grange Rd development of nearly 100 houses has now almost ruined this village. The farmland, Worth Way & fields are my only "haven" that existing residents deserve and have worked for.	
17	CRAWLEY DOWN BUILDING DEVELOPMENT IS ONLY HAPPENING FOR THE BENIFIT OF THE DEVELOPERS TO MAKE THEM MONEY AND FOR NO OTHER REASON.	
18	No regular bus link to Gatwick. Poor link to Turners Hill. A quarter-hourly bus service to Crawley and East Grinstead would be better between 8am and 6pm weekdays, with a half-hourly service at ALL other times including weekends. Also a mini-bus option to some parts would be helpful.	
19	People parking on double yellow lines. Long wait to see Doctor. Transport to get to Haywards Heath Hospital does not start till 11am. People have appointments at 9am, so have to get other transport.	
20	An occasional visit by a traffic warden to the shops area would bring some extra cash!	
21	The village must not be allowed to sink in a tide of wholesale development, nor be subject to commercial developments so as to justify more and more housing. It must not be allowed to speed outwards and merge into either E. Grinstead and / or Crawley.	
22	With the new houses being built, Crawley Down needs more car parking space.	

23	If more and more houses are to be built, there needs to be ample facilities (ie; Health, Schools, Shops) to go with them. There is NOT enough space to accommodate al that is required. Build houses + there is no room for facilities. You can't have it all.	
24	Facilities in Crawley Down are now stretched to their limits. Health centre, Schools, Play Schools & Parking. The development (proposal) at Woodland Close should be suspended until the results of this neighbourhood plan had been completed for US to decide what we need.	
25	It would really be nice to stay a village and get MSDC to build more housing in their Park + not ours and not put their problem family's in our home ground.	
26	I do not want to see developments happening on Greenfield sites. The village roads are already overloaded. The School and Doctor surgery is also too busy.	
27	Traffic calming on Station Road and Burleigh Way.	
28	We moved to Crawley Down VILLAGE for the exact reason it is a village. The amount of new houses that have already gone up and are planned to go up are detracting from this. With 2 very young children, the amount of cars in the village frightens us, especially the vehicles that travel so quickly along Grange road outside our house!	
29	With extra housing being built, putting more pressure on all services, this is no longer a village, it will quickly become joined to Crawley!!	
30	We do not need additional speed / parking restrictions but Sandy lane could use daytime parking restrictions.	
31	Environmental impact should assess traffic through the village incl. pollution / noise. 'Green' breaks must be provided within new developments and perimeters should retain green boundaries. Crawley Down and other villages must retain their 'village' status and not be towns in the country. Create the demand and you can always justify supply. No town in the country. Good housing stock in the right place for the right people!	
32	The village needs more litter bins.	
33	One has to accept that whilst it may be nice to be near relatives, one might not be able to afford to stay in the area. If demand is stimulated, you will always exceed the ability to supply.	
34	Crawley Down is already large enough. Many additional houses will destroy the whole of its character.	
35	1/ My husband is in a wheelchair and relies on hedges being well trimmed at the village end of Kiln road, to allow wheelchair passage on the pavement. 2/ Provision of a bench / seat at the lower end of the village green would be of great benefit.	
36	The village has already been overburdened by, in the main large housing, too expensive for first time buyers or young families. Also no bungalows have been built for the elderly or infirm	
37	Feel the village ia at maximum population level at present time	
38	desperate need for more homes - particularly for young people (nurses, teachers, etc) and families. without more people existing shops etc will be forced to close as population falls and economics get harder. village will dry up like so many others have.	
39	Limit development beyond boundaries & maintain startegic gaps. No development on agricultural land	
40	Housing should not be built on agricultural land and beyond the boundary	
41	I would like the character of the village retained in the future. I would like careful consideration to be given to any planning applications put forward. The school which was sold off for new housing should have been retained for all the additional children living in the many new houses now being built	
42	As the level of housing increases so the ability to park a car in the village has become more of a problem There is also no disabled parking spaces near/outside the shops	
43	What has been built is enough. If youstart building on the green fieldsit will not be a village any more but more like a small town	
44	The provision of primary school places in the village needs to be looked at before anymore housing applications are approved as I understand that the school is now full and children are being taxied to schools in East Grinstead	
45	There seems to be too much secrecy creeping into the way in which WPC, MSDC and WSCC operate. There needs to be much more openness and consultation.	
46	No road humps or 20mph limits except maybe outside school, install roundabout at end of Sandy Lane/A264 junction, improve public transport, especially at weekends. Improve road surfaces, better parking facilities around shops, better drainage especially with increasing number of homes.	
47	I would not oppose this if plans included a proposal for expanding the village school capacity, roads & healthcare provision	
48	The Turners Hill Rd footpath from the bridge to the Dukes Head is very dangerous and at times impassable. Please could our footpath paths be maintained & repaired. Bike paths would be an excellent addition to the village main roads	
49	Road provision - pedestrian safety, Turners Hill Rd, Vicarage Rd & Sandy Lane. Many motorists do not observe the 30mph speed limit. Pedestrian safety is compromised - traffic calming! Suggest mini roundabout at blind junction Sandy Lane/Turners Hill Rd to slow vehicles safely at this bad junction!	

50	Crawley Down does not need more housing development. The nature of the village must be retained as far as possible There must be absolutely no expansion of the housing beyond the present built up boundary. There must be no building on the green space between here and nearby towns	
51	Too many houses and not enough parking especially at the shops	
52	In the 2.5 years we have lived in the village we have enjoyed the feeling of community, but afraid if too much development is allowed we shall lose this!	
53	The Health Centre could provide a more holistic approach and also encourage the local community to be involved. This might be to have eg MS society, Stroke Management etc. It looks as if a lot of the building is under used but we are not sure if this is withinn the GPs remit. WE have great faith ion our GPs but would like them to have extra support The school has merged and so a lot of these new houses will have to seek places elsewhere which is a terrible shame. Local children should go to the local school. Could the playschool be moved to accommodate more classrooms	
54	Crawley Down has plenty of housing in the village, without new developments	
55	WE do not need more people living in this village!	
56	Any housing agreed to be kept within the present boundaries particularly to avoid any links with Crawley and possibly East Grinstead Village Hall needs more car parking space particularly when Palmers proposed building takes place. If on opposite side of road imperative to have pedestrian crossing - Turners Hill Rd extreemenly busy and, without this provision possible/probable loss of life	
57	Crawley Down is a nice country village and thats how it should stay! The school and doctors are already full, also there is far too much traffic	
58	There has been no mention of infrastructure improvements before any housing increases.	
59	Some of these questions are very ambiguous. i.e. Question 20 regarding car parking. Do you mean on road parking or specific off-road car parks? There is no mention in this questionnaire of any infrastructure improvements other than asking 'do we have enough shops & health facilities'.	
60	A few years ago the local schools were merged and part of the land sold off for development. The reason given was that birth rates were going down and there was no requirement for extra capacity. This has now been proven not to be the case as birth rate has risen and with the added development bringing in more families, the school and local playgroup are almost at full capacity. Should more development take place, without the associated infrastructure, where will all these extra children go to school? This will also have a knock on effect on the local secondary school whose capacity will already be severely tested with the development of housing on Imberhorne Lane. With extra housing development, and children being possibly forced to attend primary school outside the village, this will cause increased traffic on our already stretched roads.	
61	We moved here so our children could grow up in a country surrounding	
62	Worth way needs protecting for the future enjoyment,and developments mean we could be part of surrounding villages / crawley etc	
63	Give priority to infrastructure: roads, water supply, sewers, power. Next comes need for schools for new generation (and very limited expansion). This development will take up any room in the area support: health, fire, police. Once this lot has been catered for we can consider further expansion for incomers, but not before!	
64	By continuing to develop the village to overflowing, thereby creating crowding, noise, pressure on utlilities and roads the village, as such, is destroyed. A village must have it's limits otherwise eventually it ceases to be village and becomes a town.	
65	I feel the proposed car park on station Road is not necessary. The Village has a lovely atmosphere with all the green areas. Why spoil it???? If more car park facilities are needed, then take away a few of the alotments and have a car park at the back of the shops that goes straight though - in one end out the other... The alotments are very big and many are not used. The Village green apposite the shops is used by cyclist, children and walkers. They always sit there and go into the shops to buy drinks/sseets etc. It is lovely for the residents of Auchinleck Court (most elderly) to appreciate the greenery outside their windows and not have the disturbance of cars late at night, people coming from the Oak Pub at late hours. A crossing is needed in the village.....crossing the road can be very dangerous with all the big trucks. Also a 20 mile per hour speed limit would certainly make the place a safer and desirable place to live. The Village shopping area is small and cannot cater for more traffic and people coming to live in the area. There is only one small Co-op shop that cannot cope with the Village demand as it is. Newsagent always busy..... Hairdressers always busy.... Butchers always busy ..... they could think about doing a Home delivery service, which would lessen the cars on a Saturday.... Lastly people in the village have to come first .....it is their HOME AND their VILLAGE	
66	More houses ye but affordable for younger (Gen) School lovely but not big enough! Something must be done to main entrance to village - SAndy lane + Turners Hill Rd very dangerous	
67	The integrity of the village must be kept. Development needs to be a whole practice	
68	The infrastructure should be much more carefully assessed before more building is granted ie water pressure and sewage.	

69	I do not consider the "affordable" housing proposed by Hastoe to be affordable. Monthly starting prices from £700 plus and small 2 bedroomed houses at £280,000 is not affordable by many local youngsters. Co's Skips is extremely noisy in and around the valley between Crawley Down & Turners Hill as any regular walker on the bridle/footpaths will know! A plan to mitigate the noise from Cox's Skips should be mandatory. It has transformed a peaceful haven into a place of industrial noise that is difficult to endure on a daily basis.	
70	Crawley Down should remain a village and retain its identity.	
71	Crawley Down is a good place to live and some development would not be unreasonable. However, amenities and services should be increased in proportion to new development	
72	There is now not adequate schooling due to the village schools being amalgamated into one. The class sizes have grown dramatically and there is no provision for the seniors.	
73	More dog waste bins Removable seating for day use at local shops Step outside deli and hairdressers too high for some customers to use All dogs should be on leads	
74	Our local roads MUST be improved before any more housing. Turners Hill Rd, Copthorne roards ridiculous in poeak times but getting bad all the times now.	
75	Crawley Down is slowly losing its "village" status due to its over development	
76	Crawley Down has enough facilities in it and can't be expanded any more	
77	Roads are a big problem	
78	There has been a huge amount of house building in the village since we arrived. Not necessaril;y housing local people. These developments have atrracted new people into the village. Developers will only build where they can sell the properties - in a village! More profit. Also the infrastructure is not there for many more people. Local opinion is ignored.	
79	Any further development alongside Turners Hill Road is a terrible idea. I don't live on the road, but it's busy, narrow, congested, slow and it's the only practical way out of CD when Felbridge is gridlocked (which happens twice a day). Anything that makes that worse will cause a lot of anger.	
80	IT IS QUITE OBVIOUS THAT CRAWLEY DOWN IS BE TOTALLY OVER WITHOUT ANY THOUGHT FOR EXISTING INFERSTRUCTURE IE SEWER AND DRAINAGE PROBLEMS.	
81	I feel the existing infrastructure of the village will suffer with the building of more houses.the existing school may need to be extended the shops will not be sufficient for more people and the roads which are quite narrow already with parked cars on them will become more crowded and may lead to accidents.	
82	We have to provide safety and comfort for all those who already reside in Crawley Down. Extra housing cannot come at the expense of those who have already contributed to what a lovely Village this is but won't be for much longer if housing is crammed into the smallest space available. The facilities will not be able to cope with the added pressure and it will be no longer a lovely place in which to live.	
83	We only found this village less than three years ago. Moving from East Grimstead was the best thing we have ever done....save for our renting noisy neighbours! anyway, please look after this lovely village. All of this type are in serious danger. Dont let it happen.	
84	Development should remain within village boundaries. Local infrastructure needs to dramatically improve to support any future development	
85	A Neighbourhood plan is a good idea, for far to long the legislative towns to the south of crawley down (Haywards Heath and Chichester) have been dumping the majority of the West Sussex housing onto the northern areas to protect their own areas and greenbelt to the detriment of ours. We are already starting to loose the identity of a village with a massive increase in new build properties around the village which needs to be dramatically slowed or stoped altogether before its too late.	
86	Please see my comments about sporting facilities which follow question 27. This is something I feel strongly about. The local sports clubs, especially Crawley Down Football Club, do wonderful work for the youth of the village and is an organisation which the village should and can be proud of. However, with the village growing, there are not enough facilities for teams to play games and train, which is a tremendous shame. I believe it is a problem which can be rectified without losing the character of the village.	
87	I have lived in Crawley Down for 32 years and like it enormously. The recent developments and now the change in planning laws threaten our village environment. We must examine/contest any propoosals for building by developers after a quick buck. I appreciate the need for more housing, particularly in SE England and am not a NIMBY. I want a reasoned, responsible approach, particularly in Crawley Down environs	
88	I do not feel that any new developments should take place outside the boundary of the village as this will lead to the village losing it's village status and become more of an extension of Crawley or EG.	
89	The village has limited amenities. Currently they are stretched. There is no viability to the idea of stretching these facilities by simply building more housing	
90	Keep separation between Village Proper and little "settlements" west of Turners Hill Rd. preserve along Worth Way and fields along Wallage Lane	
91	My only concerns are: 1) New housing should not be built without the need being clearly identified and should not be on green field sites. 2) People should walk to the local shops instead of drive if at all possible.	

92	1) I am strongly opposed to the proposed "Hastoe Development" along Turners Hill RD. Such development will open the floofgates to development of other land on the western side of Turners Hill Rd thus encroaching the green spaces outside the village boundary 2) In the interests of safety the speed limit for ALL vehicles withing the village should be reduced to 20mph	
93	TRANSPORT. I do not drive. I rely on local buses. I had occasion to use a 400 bus from Three Bridges to Dukes Head in winter. The bus stop was at a very dangerous spot to cross the road to get to the connecting stop for the 281/291 to continue to Crawley Down. Despite taking care in crossing in the dark, my husband and I were almost knocked down due to not being seen. Health - It is very difficult to get a prompt doctors appointment. There is poor after-care (when discharged from hospital) by the GP surgery.	
94	Let's try and keep Crawley Down village as a village and not a town attached to EG	
95	The roads are in the worst state I have ever seen. The footpaths are dangerous for adults let alone children and they are not adequately lit. If a check with the education dept @ Mid Sussex and asked how they would handle an additional 100/150 children for school places locally, I would think that this would be met with horror and this is quite possible just from the Grange Rd development alone. This is the reason for the objection to the Hastoe development on Huntsland Property.	
96	This development is totally wrong. The doctors are full, the schools are full, the shops are so small you can't get a pushchair in them and this day and age you can't leave their children outside. We paid a high price for our property and with social and shared ownership housing going up it will drop in value!!	
97	Unbelievable developmentsgoing on. Can't cope as it is. becoming a very dangerous place to live	
98	WE feel Crawley Down is losing its village status too fast. It has not a big enough school, as this was reduced in size by the Council and is now full up.	
99	We have not yet felt the full impact of ther Grange Rd development and other recent, smaller scale housing schemes. No further planning should be given to large developments until this happens and a proper "needs" survey is completed.	
100	There will be more problems getting in and out of Crawley Down village if more houses are built The roads will be busier and unsafe for children crossing them. Parking will become a major issue. The pre-school, school and health centre will not be able to cater adequately for Crawley Down residents	
101	This is a nice small community with adequate facilities in most things. If we build more houses we will not have enough shops, health facilities, schools, public transport and will be over run in the village	
102	Public transport adequate for the current situation only The school is altready overstretched. The doctors are beginning to struggle Roads/congestion will continue to cause drivers/children safety issues Drainage/sewage needs to be enhanced	
103	On the subject of pathways. Many have deteriorated & require re-surfacing to make them not a trip hazard. Also some pathways cannot be used fully because of trees and hedges overgrowing them On parking - by the school I believe this is becoming a danger. The no-parking area needs to be re-defined and policed. Also speed limit reduced	
104	The rate of building in our so-called village is alarming - hopefully there will be an instant cessatiio to consider the huge impact on all facilities Shops - particularly the Co-Op, coping well in already uncomfortably small space. More lorry deliveries Doctors Surgery Schools PARKING Time to pause and smell the roses while we still can	
105	Crawley Down village has a wonderful community spirit which would be at risk of breaking down and fragmented if controls over any further development are not put in place. I wish every success in the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Committee achieving this control ensuring Crawley Down village for Crawley Down villagers.	
106	Crawley Down is a small village with a strong and determined sense of community & identity. WE cherish this and should do everythingh to safeguard it and the rural setting it is in	
107	We need to ensure thatr Crawley Down village with the strong community support and amaneities it offers and the rural location it is in is not compromised in any way	
108	There should be no furthern development of Crawley Down until the "Neighbourhood Plan" has been prepared and approved	
109	I would support the building of a number of smaller dwellings (1 & 2 beds)	
110	Turners Hill Rd speed limit of 30mph NOT policed and is dangerous School is full - more residents = inadequate facilities	
111	Although I believe	
112	Not sure if this issue was addressed but I would want to see some sort of planning report regarding expansion of the school in the village. I would expect something like this to be taken into account when any signifcant housing development plans are being drawn up and considered for approval.	
113	It's no good building more and more houses when we don't have the infrastructure to support it ie Schools, Doctors	
114	It is time for Crawley Down and Copthorne to have separate councils. The populations of both villages are increasing and the needs of each are increasingly in conflict.	
115	Protect the land at the Haven Centre for football	

116	With the new houses currently being built or proposed in Grange Rd, Palmers, Wealdens, Station Rd and now the proposed 26 in Turners Hill RD the total no of new houses would amount to over 130. At 1 car per household it would amount to 130 extra cars & if 2 cars then 260 cars pper house. It is currently impossible to find parking space in the village to support the local shops. How on earth can the shops survive without the villagers support. Flanagans is the best butcher in the area & people come from far and wide but if parking becomes impossible they will stop coming & the village will lose those shops. Turners Hill Rd is a death trap for anyone crossing the road, especially at night. There have been many accidents at the Sandy Lane Junction so heaven forbid if the 100-200 cars were to use this junction! Perhaps the MSDC would like to be there between 8-9am to see just how many cars use the Turners Hill RD to get to work and again between 5-6pm on their return!!! The infrastructure is not in place for any more cars or houses in the village.	
117	Getting around - road network into and from village is adequate with access from different directions but inadequate to support further development. Public transport - road accessibility is not maintained in winter weather conditions to allow movement in and out of village It is not only the inadequate parkingfacilities in the village centre but parking in residential roads on verges/across pathways. garages are not utilised for their intended purposes but have either been converted into living/work accommodation or house domestic appliances. garages are not built to accommodate the size of vehicles in CD	
118	All comments stating "Adequate provision" available are based on current reseident levels. These opinions would change if more houses are built	
119	Crawley Down in my opinion has enough housing now with all these being built Please NO MORE	
120	Where development is to take place contractors should provide parking on site for workers/sub-contractors. Re Grange Rd development, Grange Rd and Kiln Rd appear to be designated car parks for Redrow to inconvenience residents.	
121	Public transport not adequate for shift workers. Why is thee not a "Any other comments" section under getting around? Due to poor off-road parking facilities in private houses. The roads are dangerous for a rsidential area with very poor access for large emergency vehicles. Sandy Lane should be one way (west direction) and is very dangerous to exit from at the east end of the road	
122	Rigorous planning if we ar to avoid a sprawl towards Crawley or E.Grinstead. WE are a happy, helpful and friendly village - long may it continue	
123	Over recent years we've seen an explosion of new houses both on greenfield sites and where existing housing has been demolished and three, four and sometimes more properties have been built for each one demolished. All of this is totally spoiling the character of the village. We also seem to be coming under pressure to build 'affordable' houses. Since when does anybody have a divine right to live where they would ideally want to? If people can't afford to buy/rent houses in an area then I'm afraid they have to move to somewhere where they can afford it - just like we had to in the past.	
124	To accommodate the already increasing numbers of people the village needs to make provision for school places, leisure / play areas for children. Planning MUST consider traffic movement (at specific times of day) and parking facilities. Provision of policing should also be considered when increasing the size of the village.	
125	The village needs to stay as a village to preserve it`s community feel and continue to provide a great place to live. By extending beyond the current village boundary we risk losing the "village" feel to Crawley Down and becoming a non-specific small town, where people don`t feel included or part of anything. Also the local road networks will not be able to cope, they are struggling at the moment. Almost everyone I know who has moved to the village has done so because it is a village and all the benefits this offers, at all different ages. We all want to continue to be part of this.	
126	please don't just object to any and all developments of new homes. the village needs more homes for families and young people, or it will gradually become one big old people's home, which will mean all the businesses will close as there won't be enough trade. At the moment, young people have to move away to crawley to get a home, and families have to do the same. if you want your village to die, then keep going as you are. if you want it to continue to be a nice place to live, then let it grow.	
127	We are concerned with the amount of building development at present in the Village and hope this Plan will reflect the views of the residents and not become another worthless paper exercise!	
128	We seem to be a relatively safe Environment and do not at this particular time introduce any further Expansion of the Village Especially on the edges of our Village Boundary's. All too soon we would loose our village community and further Development would include us to the nearest Town. It does not seem to ring true to be called CRAWLEY DOWN TOWN !! . If I wanted to live in a Town I would have moved to one years ago. I want it to continue as Crawley Down Village Settlement.	
129	I think the village is lovely and we chose to come and live here because we wanted to be In a village. I think drivers who use their cars are inconsiderate and park anywhere they choose no matter how they inconvenience or endanger other walkers or drivers and I am not sure that another car park will help put this right. I think resources like the surgery seems to be only just coping and am not sure that it will get any better with more people coming to live here.	
130	Well done on this site - it's a fantastic resource to keep us all informed! I think we all agree that the new building needs to be monitored and not destroy the village feel we all love. The infrastructure - shops, parking, schools, plumbing & sewerage - all need reviewing and overhauling BEFORE we can invite so many more people in, not an afterthought. I'm seriously worried the amount of new building means my village will never be the same again.	
131	The village feels like a village at the moment, which is why we moved here, away from Crawley town. It is quieter and more relaxed and apparently safer, children still ride their bikes on the road and play outside. If the village gets any bigger especially extending beyond the perimeter as it exists now, either creeping up the road to Turners Hill or Felbridge it will feel more like a suburb. That would be a great shame.	

132	Re-inforcing earlier comment re housing..... Further significant house building should not be allowed to proceed since it will stretch existing infrastructure, which in soime areas is already full. If allowed to continue at the present rate our village will become a small town, and if allowed to proceed towards other communities, e.g Felbridge will make this even more apparent. Further, rumour has it, that the village allotments are being considered for housing. I am firmly against this any such proposal.	
133	In the past there seems to be little joined up thinking regarding the future of Crawley Down. Individual developments seem to have been approved with little consideration of their greater overall impact on the village or on one another. For instance on traffic impact, schooling needs, local shops, provision of Doctors, etc. I am hopeful the development of a comprehensive neighbourhood plan will address current deficiencies. (PS: It is frustrating that the spell check on this e-questionnaire uses American spelling! Can't we find an application that uses English English!!)	
134	There must be no development within the existing Areas of Countryside Development Restraint or Strategic Gaps	
135	The neighbourhood plan needs to take account of the wishes of those in the Village on the need/desire for increased building and ensure that any building takes into account the hidden strains on the Village. There is a balance to be struck and the importance of maintaining a Village community is key - expansion driven solely by commercial factors, will cause insurmountable future problems. The Village boundaries are important - spreading outside of these risks changing the area from a distinct village to a suburb of Crawley or East Grinstead.	
136	Great village. Let's hope we don't join up with Copthorne. But do need to provide more cost effective housing for the YOUNG.	
137	Not fair that village residents with transport, would be unable to get places at the school for their children. So many grandparents in the village are involved in childcare - we need our grandchildren to have assured places at C.D. school. More social housing will badly affect this.	
138	Crawley Down is already large enough. Any further development will destroy its whole character.	
139	We moved to this area nearly 40 years to escape congested roads and the over crowding of a London suburb and want to retain the rural surroundings that we have enjoyed for our children and grandchildren.	
140	The infrastructure cannot cope with the current population. Quality of roads not good. Difficult to get Doctor appt. Too many power failures. Water pressure low. Sewerage problems.	
141	Crawley Down still has a village atmosphere. We do not want to lose that. There has been enough development of late. Schools. Doctors, Shops etc, do not support more housing. Have moved to this lovely area to avoid town life.	
142	I like the village as it is. Don't spoil it by making it into a town. Pot holes all over the village need repairing, not just filled in temporarily. Do the job properly - it will last longer. I'm fed up keep paying out for car repairs due to potholes.	
143	My main interest is the allotments, which gives me and other much pleasure.	
144	I feel any sizeable construction sites within the village should be put on hold until the Neighbour plan has been decided. The ad hoc building going on at the present time is detrimental to the village.	
145	CD is special because it is self-sufficient so we should make sure it doesn't stretch across fields to eventually join with other communities + bring more traffic into it.	
146	Footpaths are in poor condition. Despite the amount of Council tax we pay, Crawley Down is virtually ignored when there is snow + ice - insufficient gritting on the roads, which can mean we are virtually cut off, and any attempts at travel are extremely dangerous. However, most of us are still expected to get to work and this can be impossible.	
147	Transport and roads are not looked after in winter weather - not even bus routes and never by the school. Foot paths are in very poor condition. Too much additional housing puts a severe strain on water, electricity and transport (roads), all of which are severely over-stretched. Also Health Centre is now over busy.	
148	I think it is ridiculous that some parts of the Country have miles of houses, which are not used - for example Liverpool and Stoke-on-Trent. The Government should be looking to regenerate areas such as these, not over-populate Crawley Down and such areas. This should be sorted out Nationally!!	
149	There is a lamentable tendency to NIMBYISM in the village. It is a view I not share.	
150	We must maintain a green belt area between Crawley Down and neighbouring villages and towns.	
151	Quality of pavements	
152	Future development should require developers to make significant contributions to improve the quality of life Suggestions - pedestrianise the village centre - public conveniences - underground car parking	
153	Roads will not be adequate if housing is greatly increased. Over development will ruin character of the community	
154	I think Crawley Down is at full capacity. You can't cram in additional housing without it having an effect on existing residents	
155	Restrict heavy traffic - particularly Cox's lorries who speed and drop litter from the skips they carry	

156	Looking at the present plan and housing proposed for the Becker field, the facilities in Crawley Down will not be able to cope Sports facilitees are limited. Local football club need to expand to provide for a massive waiting list. Full size 3G pitch required to provide adequate training and playing facilities for all sports. This should be provided for on present P2 and funded from the proposed housing. The facility could be managed by Haven Centre or football club	
157	The school is near to capacity and with more houses brings more children. These people are moving to a village to take their children to a village school. Currently they will not be able to do this. We live here as it is a nice village. It seems that the powers that be want to make it so big and populated it will not be a village any more	
158	The infrastructure of the village cannot sustain any large housing development. We already have problems with lack of school space, health centre etc. We also have more than average power cuts etc and the roads are always being dug up to try and cope with increasing demands	
159	Having lived in the village such a short time I am unable to give a true opinion	
160	Living in the village for such a short time I am unable to give a more comprehensive opinion	
161	Too much extra house building is destroying the village and turning it into a suburb of Crawley. I like the rural atmosphere of CD not the urban sprawl of Crawley	
162	I believe that if we need to take more housing then the infrastructure needs to be substantially improved ie schools, doctors, roads, water, electricity etc. The school is currently full. It is difficult to get a doctors appoointment within a reasonable time. The roads are jam packed particularly at rush hour times. Surface water needs to be removed quickly and efficiently. The new development in Grange Rd is a good example of this. Electricity needs to be reliable, particularly where the cables are above ground! More parking nbeeds to be provioded over and above that already planned for the local shops	
163	C Down is a lovely village, let's keep it that way	
164	The amount of "infilling" over the last four years has been too high. Present new developments can only cause more problems due to lack of infrastructure	
165	A lovely village - don't spoil it please with any more housing	
166	Improve footpaths particularly at Bus Stop EG 291 & 281, Bus routes at the end of Furnace Wood	
167	The pavements need renewing after 40 years	
168	Pavements need repair Too much new building - we need to retain "village". More provision for rambling - dogs	
169	1) I am surpised no questions relating to nursery facilities + schooling. The village school is almost full now. 2)The road junctions at Sandy Lane and at Grange Rd (both with Tutrners Hill Rd) are already busy and dangerous and the additional traffic from more housing would only worsen the situation	
170	We are strongly opposed to the centre of the village becoming one huge car park	
171	Green spaces essential in any development, must include leisure activity for the younger person	
172	Pleasant place to live. Let's keep it that way	
173	The park needs drastic improvement. More choice of equip, paint existing items, make it larger	
174	I moved here some 30 years ago to live in a rural environment, now the area is becoming an area like any suburb of a town. Too much building, too many people, not enough infrastructure	
175	Road to surgery not suitable for parked cars	
176	There is no reason at all why the edges/outskirts of Firnace Wood cannot be developed. Furnace Wood is a housing satellite/community that has room to expand down Furnaxce Farm Rd. This will stop over development withing the village if the Furnace Farm Rd (south of the road) is used.	
177	Health services, schools and drainage have been severely compromised. Drainage in the village needs sorting out. How about traffic lights at the Sandy Lane/London Rd junction?	
178	I am concerned about security in the village shops that are regularly invaded by thieves	
179	1) I don't drive so to get to EG or Three Bridges for Health visitor appts, whilst getting bus and being in time for school drop off/pick up is difficult - need health visitor clinic here 2) The park has hardly changed since I was a child and grew up here. Please update	
180	At the moment green area from green fields to small grass areas are being taken over for building or car parking. It must stop.	
181	Keep the village as a village Improve parks Less planning	
182	I have no problems with CD	
183	People moved to Crawley Down to live in a village environment. Any expansion should be resisted or it will become more like a small town.	
184	Ideally we would not like to see any further expansion of the village however we should not expect any additional services of facilities. Of course if the village had not expanded in the 70s and 80s many of us would not have the opportunity to be part of this lovely community.	

185	I would like to point out some flaws in the design of this questionnaire such that you may amend them or learn for the future. I hope you don't think this pretentious of me. Question 6 asks about employment status, but does not give the option for 'Student'. I am sure there are a number of students in the village, especially in the under 16 category from the question before. While their response to this questionnaire is probably not proportionate to their numbers, I still feel this is an oversight. As it happens I am both a full time student and in full time employment, so it matters little which box I ticked. There are options for additional comments in the sections on housing, economy and wellbeing/leisure/culture, but not for getting around or environment. As it happens these are the two areas I feel most strongly about, so I'll use this box as an opportunity to write what I would have written in the appropriate sections. Environment: The worth way, the village green, the wooded area and green near to the schools and the village waterways and ponds are a huge asset to this village, and I feel make Crawley Down worth living in. They can be enjoyed by everyone, and should be protected to the full extent possible by the village. I'm aware of the brilliant work the pond environmental group does and hope that our village continues to be looked after so well. Getting around: The bus services are not adequate for the village, especially in the morning and evening. The prices are extortionate but that's another issue. I feel buses to East Grinstead and Crawley should be at least every half hour between 6:30 and 8:30 in the morning, and between 17:30 and 19:30 in the evening. This might persuade the large numbers of people who drive the journey to take public transport instead, improving the environment. I must also appendix my answers to the questions in this section by saying that I feel parking and roads are adequate at the moment, but as the village expands, this will probably become more of an issue.	
186	Why are there no questions about other infrastructure issues, e.g. water, schools? We strongly believe that the current health care provision is seriously overstretched - currently it takes over 3 weeks to get a non urgent doctor's appointment. Our roads are not suitable for heavier traffic flow. Proper consideration needs to be given to access onto main arteries from any new housing developments - the madness in Grange Road with access to Turners Hill Road must not be repeated.	
187	I do not see any private or council led activities (apart from the Worth Way) that protect, encourage and indeed plan for improved environments for flora and fauna in or around our village. Items for consideration may include reserves and sanctuaries for wildlife to be both for the wildlife and educational for children and local people. Coordinated ribbons of land including woods and hedgerows for wildlife to connect with larger areas where wildlife can be sustained are needed together with a maintenance plan. With regard to village wildlife the only noticeable events have been the decline in trees, animals and birds in and around the village with massive declines in some species. This aspect of village life that can be educational as well as vital for general wellbeing should be thought through and integrated into a neighbourhood plan in a professional manner otherwise our village will become devoid of its wildlife and yet another concrete desert.	
188	We are a village community and must fight to remain a village; otherwise we will become just another suburb of East Grinstead and Crawley.	
189	Crawley Down needs a snow gritting vehicle to go around the village when we have snow as most of the village ends up being snowbound for a week. Some cyclists using the Worth Way are very dangerous as they speed and don't let walkers know they are coming as won't use bells or anything	
190	1) Our surgery is struggling already 2) School places are over subscribed 3) Local area infrastructure is not being upgraded to meet additional housing	
191	You are doing a good job.	
192	The roads around the shopsare not safe for the young and elderly. Parking along Bowers Place is out of hand. Cars park on the pavement. The verge needs to be made into proper parking facilities	
193	I'm surprised to find no question about the village school. My impression is that it is at full capacity and cannot absorb additional children from new housing developments. This is part of my concern about the infrastructure being under strain. As mentioned above, the road system urgently needs to be improved. The sewage disposal system is at full capacity. Surface water drainage from the Grange Road development is an ongoing concern. More generally, there is a real danger of the character of the village being lost if further development results in Crawley Down merging with Copthorne in one direction and Felbridge in the other.	
194	I feel strongly that the Plan should support and aim to improve the environement of the locality- plant 2 trees for everyone which id felled, increase hedging and preserve the Worth Way and the pond. No development should be allowed on the Crawley side of the B2028- all the junctions from the Village onto this road are dangeraous enough already- settlements on the opposite side of the road would significantly increase pedestrian and motor traffic incidents. Can the Plan influence surrounding towns? Parking at Three Bridges station is a particular issue- better public transport links would reduce the number on commuters travelling by car.	
195	What ever development is decided apon it is important that it tones in with the character of the village and is not a blot on the landscape like the Beckers. And is within village bounderies so that we keep the strateigic gap between Crawley Down East Grinstead, and Worth.	
196	I welcome the idea that local people's views are to be considered. To date, there is still much debate over the development on Grange Road, and I have felt that some of the elderly residents have not been able to put their views across and their opinion has been lost, especially as much of the planning documents for this site where lengthy and written in such as way as to confuse people and only accessible by the internet, all of which can be difficult for elderly residents to access. I would hope a huge improvement is made to the impact of housing on our infrastructure, for eg traffic calming needs to be improved throughout the village. On attending public meetings in the past, I have the impression that councillors 'hands are tied' in the planning process. When it appears that 'suitable' land becomes available, councillors are reluctant to object to planning, even though they have listened to heartfelt pleas from residents and objections from individual councillors who have been in tears over the decision to permit the development to go ahead, It seems to me that the financial burden of the appeal process that the council would have to go through is greater than the local residents needs. I have spoken to many residents on a number of different planning proposals in the village and they have felt the same way.	

197	why do we continued to concrete over greenfields?	
198	The village has suffered too much uncontrolled building and from congestion on the A22 causing the village to become a cut through. Any minor incident on the Turners Hill Rd and the village becomes effectively cut off. Roads to the major employer in the area (Crawley/Gatwick) are inadequate and public transport is a joke - buses do not match shift patterns or allow for standard start/stop times in Crawley. A car is a must. There are insufficient school places. When is the village going to get it's infrastructure deficits addressed? No more building until it is properly and FULLY addressed. WSCC and MSDC have spent funds generated from excessive building in CD elsewhere in the county and ignored Worth. We have become a dumping ground. We want to remain a village, not become part of Crawley or East Grinstead	
199	I think you should have asked some specific questions about travel i.e. The speed limit in the village should be reduced to 20mph Further measures to control the speed of traffic inside the village i.e. speed humps, cobbles, need to be introduced Road safety measures inside and leading into the village are insufficient Traffic signs and the speed signs on the roads leading into the village are ineffective are non-existent Pedestrian safety measures are inadequate and cannot support future growth of the ageing population The bus service is inadequate	
200	The pre school and school cannot cope with the increase in population the new housing is bringing, along with doctors, car parking etc. further housing developments should be rejected.	
201	Crawley Down is a great place to live, more frequent buses to main towns would be good starting earlier and later into the night. I feel it is important to provide additional affordable housing, that in the future our children will be able buy, as it stands at the moment they would not stand a chance. A better play area for the children ie improved swing area would be good. I would like to see traffic restrictions in place around the school perhaps even one way filter with no parking zig zaps further down past school. Other roads that would benefit to speed reduction are Burleigh Way, Hophurst Lane (from BURLEIGH Way to Vicarage Road). Vicarage Road and Sandy Lane. These areas also need to be policed. Speed watch should be out on these roads not Turners Hill Road, where there are less pedestrians. Just their presence slows the traffic and thats a good thing, esp if they could be out around school times.	
202	If new houses are to be built in the village, in particular affordable housing they should be more in keeping with the style of surrounding properties. Again, the properties at the top of Burleigh Way are a good example of poor design, their chimney's and outter cladding are awful. I think that there should be a limit to the number of new houses we should be expected to take because we are in danger of not becoming a village but a small town with land that supposedly should not be built on, being built on if the Council wishes! Villagers views, despite being sought are not taken into consideration. We should also seek to preserve the Haven Centre as a venue for sports and should expand on the activities available there if possible, for example a basket ball/netball court. There are good facilities to support those in the village for example the Primary school, doctor's surgery, dentist and local shops but parking is still an issue. People ignore the double yellow line outside the shops and also the bollards outside the Royal Oak which can cause chaos particularly when the buses are trying to get through.	
203	Problems to sort out before any more plans to increase housing:- 1) Traffic congestion & speed (specifically Turners Hill Rd) - safety risk 2) Schools 3) Health Centre 4) Road cleaning - litter pick up etc 5) Road conditions - potholes etc	
204	I would like to see Crawley Down keep its village size and status. Expanding and extending boundaries would destroy its attraction and put an unacceptable load on the existing facilities	
205	Sympathetic development within the village boundaries, provided infrastructure is not put under more pressure.	
206	I know we need houses for the village to progress. We need money coming in from somewhere to give us other facilities I just don't want too many houses so we lose village and become crawley.	
207	We must protect Crawley Down from uncontrolled deveopment.The pressure is on. The developers are circling like vultures.We must fight uncontrolled development and also keep a close eye on underhand practises in the planning process.Whoever passed the plan to build on land in Grange Road was either not informed of the flooding problems or the Developer put pressure on or maybe something else.No more developments of this size,the Village just cannot take any more.	
208	The Plan should also consider the feasiblity of re-opening the railway line to Three Bridges.	
209	CD seems to be soaking up far too much of the development in this area.	
210	The neighbourhood plan is a good idea for local villages to put forward an idea that will keep the village growing and vibrant. Unfortunately, I do think the younger generation who live in the village will not be bothered in voicing their opinions due to the busy lives they run, therefore my real concern is that the only people trying to forge a plan together are of an age when they do not want to see change and will harm the village for the future. Crawley Down is a village I grew up in and have come back to live in, it serves my family well with it facilities plus the fact it is so close to Gatwick, London and Brighton but it needs to embrace growth so that more families can be part of a vibrant village that ticks lots of boxes on location. The neighbourhood plan should embrace more housing in the village but in a strategic way that keeps the village a growing community not becoming too stagnate.	
211	The pavements are in need of improvement around the non estate areas such as Hophurst Lane from the War Memorial to the Haven Centre, Sandy Lane and other roads that escape me for the moment. The roads are rapidly disintegrating around the village. The pedestrian crossing to be sited from Haven Gardens across Hophurst Lane is in totally the wrong place; it should be on Vicarage Road by the War Memorial to the pavement on the apex of station Road and Hophurst Drive. As proposed, children will have to cross Sandy Lane to cross Hophurst Lane to get them onto the wrong side of the road in Hophurst Drive.	

212	Having grown up in the village and now bringing up my own kids I find it sad that the community feel is being lost. As far as possible I believe the plan should take into account the in-proportionate amount of development that the village has already suffered and keep further development to a minimum. Development should definitely be held back until such time as the local schools and health centre have been reviewed and plans put in place to cope with the current numbers of pupils/patients as well as future plans based on proposed growth in the village. I appreciate that we do need to take our share of future house building, however that share should be balanced compared to neighbouring villages (Copthorne/Turners Hill) which to date I do not believe to be the case.	
213	Accepting that there is a need for some additional housing, care should be taken to ensure that their placement does not increase road traffic along existing, internal, roads. Adequate of road parking should be compulsory. Frequently within the village the street parking is so bad that emergency vehicles, such as fire engines, could not get through to a fire.	
214	It's frustrating that a bunch of ageing nimbies oppose all developments in the village whatever their merits. It is a concern that this plan will be established by that vocal minority and without regard to the needs of those under 60. The neighbouhood planning idea is deeply flawed and risks less democracy through domination by small special interest groups with time on their hands. At least when the district council makes plans they have younger people involved, and think properly about the future of the whole area, not just the view from their own back garden.	
215	Get rid of the current incompetent Worth Parish Council leadership who should have done this plan ten years ago.	
216	I hope the plan will make a difference, although I fear not.	
217	It is worrying that building is carrying on without adequate schooling and healthcare.	
218	The future health & well being of our community should be a priority. Let's make sure the neighbourhood plan reflects this need adequately	
219	Need for accommodation suitable for an ageing population. No further development resulting i an expansion of Crawley Down footprint	
220	Crawley Down has expanded enough. It does not wish to turn into a small town. We came to a rural community. Please keep it this way	
221	We need forward thinking, which this country hasn't got. We must put in infrastructure before we build, not build and then realize we can't cope for the demand. If you keep building then eventually Crawley Down will be grid locked at certain times of day. There is no real employmentin Crawley Down so it is a necessity to travel. Without good roads there is no point in building. Plus, is there work in the South East. Central Government need to reconsidertheir policy. Firms are now relocating north!! (BBC etc)	
222	What can be done about roads throughout the village being blocked by parked cars? Also selfishness over parking, making driving for others dangerous ie too near junctions etc. This really needs addressing	
223	There is a far better area of land by junction 10, Copthorne that should be developed first. This would not affect our village	
224	Look at site by Jct 10 motorway M23 which has previously been proposed	
225	Any statements in the final plan must be backed up by facts, data and evidence and not rumour or hearsay	
226	Access to the Turners Hill Rd at most points from Crawley Down must be considered dangerous. The views from most roadsare restricted and the increasing number of residents with cars is leading to the possibility of more accidents	
227	All children should live within walking distance of a junior school & encouraged to walk to school. This is healthier, reduces traffic congestion at peak times and they make local friends and develop a sense of community spirit at an early age	
228	The Parish/County Council must update the facts you both use in order to pass new housing. Until this is done and the new facts are accurate, no further housing development should be passed.	
229	Road surfaces terrible. We need a crossing or lights at Haven and to the church. Crawley Down is the poor man of MSDC/WSCC. Until recently we have had very few leisure or cultural provisions except what the village has done for itself - very little funding has ever been provided by local authorities	
230	Public transport is too expensive & inadequate for shift workers or commuters. There is no bus to get commuters to Three Bridges Railway Station in time to travel to London for a normal start time, let alone an early start. We need safe exit onto all roads outside the village, eg. traffic lights, or a roundabout onto the A264 at Felbridge and the Turners Hill Rd B2028	
231	With the likely extension of Gatwick i8n the future we must improve our infrastructure & public services to support shift workers	
232	Crawley Down is a village, would too much development allow it to be designated a town when planning regulations would change	
233	Thanks for an interesting and informative meeting	
234	The neighbourhood plan is after the horse has bolted. Stop building now and study the N-plan	
235	Crawley Down has been grid locked	

236	We should aim to protect the existing green and open spaces (allotments etc) within the confines of the village and future housing provision should be on green space at edge of the village boundary. The village primary school will have to expand again and no more should part of the playing field be sold off for housing	
237	Feel it is imperative that existing green spaces & woods also allotments are not built on. If we're not careful we will end up with a concrete housing estate - no green spaces - no peace for the soul in this extremely busy part of the South East.	